l

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

For its complaint against Defendants Amazon.Com, Inc. ("Amazon"), Best Buy, Inc. ("Best Buy"), Buy.Com, Inc. ("Buy.Com"), Circuit City, Inc. ("Circuit City"), Costco, Inc. ("Costco"), Fry's Electronics, Inc. ("Fry's"), K-Mart, Inc. ("K-Mart"), Radio Shack, Inc. ("Radio Shack"), Sears Roebuck & Co. ("Sears"), Target, Inc. ("Target"), and Wal-Mart, Inc. ("Wal-Mart") (collectively "Defendants"), Plaintiff Multi-Format, Inc. ("Multi-Format") alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This is a civil action arising in part under laws of the United States relating to patents (35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283, 284, and 285). This court has federal jurisdiction of such federal question claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- The acts and transactions complained of herein were conceived, carried 2. out, made effective, and had effect within the State of California and within this district, among other places. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c) and 1400(b), in that the patents at issue are the property of a corporation that resides in this judicial district, Defendants reside in this judicial district, and Defendants have committed acts of infringement in this judicial district.

THE PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Multi-Format, Inc. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey, with its principal place of business at 80 Hamilton Avenue, Dumont, New Jersey 07628.
- Defendant Amazon. Com, Inc. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 1200 12th Avenue South, Suite 1200, Seattle, Washington 98144-2734. Amazon has a registered agent for the service of process located at 1010 Union Avenue SE, Olympia, WA 98501.
- Defendant Best Buy, Inc. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Minnesota, with its principal place of business at 7075

Flying Cloud Drive, Eden Prairie, MN 55355. Best Buy has a registered agent for the service of process located at 401 2nd Avenue South, Minneapolis, MN 55401.

- 6. Defendant Buy.Com, Inc. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 85 Enterprise, Aliso Veijo, CA 92656. Buy.Com has a registered agent for the service of process located at 12631 East Imperial Highway, Suite D116, Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670.
- 7. Defendant Circuit City Stores, Inc. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State Virginia with its principal place of business at 9950 Maryland Drive, Richmond, VA 23233. Circuit City has a registered agent for the service of process located at 11 S 12th Street, Richmond, VA 23218.
- 8. Defendant Costco, Inc. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington, with its principal place of business at 999 Lake Drive, Issaquah, WA 98027. Costco has a registered agent for the service of process located at 999 Lake Drive, Issaquah, WA 98027.
- 9. Defendant Fry's Electronics, Inc. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business at 600 East Brokaw Road, San Jose, CA 95112. Fry's has a registered agent for the service of process located at 600 East Brokaw Road, San Jose, CA 95112.
- 10. Defendant K-Mart, Inc. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Michigan, with its principal place of business at 3100 W. Big Beaver Rd, Troy, MI 48084. K-Mart has a registered agent for the service of process located at One Commercial Plaza, Hartford, CT 06103.
- 11. Defendant RadioShack Corporation. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at Charles D. Tandy Center, 100 Throckmorton Street, Fort Worth, Texas 76102. Radio Shack has a registered agent for the service of process located at 800 Brazos, Austin, TX 78701.

12. Defendant Sears, Roebuck & Co. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business at Homan & Arthington St., Chicago, IL. Sears has a registered agent for the service of process located at 425 West Capitol Avenue, Suite 1700, Little Rock, AR 72201.

13. Defendant Wal-Mart, Inc. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business at 702 SW 8th Street, Bentonville, AR 72716. Wal-Mart has a registered agent for the service of process located at 120 East Fourth Street, Little Rock, AR 72201.

BACKGROUND FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 14. On April 15, 2003, United States Letters Patent No. RE 38,079, entitled "MULTI-FORMAT AUDIO/VIDEO PRODUCTION SYSTEM," was duly and legally issued for inventions comprising systems and methods for processing a video program ("the '079 patent"). Plaintiff Multi-Format is the owner by assignment of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the '079 patent. (A true and correct copy of the '079 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1).
- 15. Prior to the filing of this Complaint, each Defendant has received written notice from Multi-Format of the '079 patent and that the '079 patent covers their activities.
- 16. Immediately following the filing of this Complaint, but before formal service of the Complaint and Summons, Multi-Format will provide each Defendant with additional written notice of the '079 patent. Included with this notice, will be an explanation as to how each Defendants' activities with respect to sales of DVD discs, DVD players, and personal computers are covered by the '079 patent. Also included will be proposed patent License Agreements. Multi-Format will provide each Defendant with a period of time following the filing of this Complaint and prior to the formal service of this Complaint within which to conduct due diligence and enter into license agreements.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE 38,079 AGAINST DEFENDANTS AMAZON, BEST BUY, BUY.COM, CIRCUIT CITY, COSTCO, FRY'S, K. MART, TARGET, AND WAL-MART FOR SALES OF DVD DISCS

- 17. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-16 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 18. Defendants Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, K-Mart, Target, and Wal-Mart for a long time past have been and still are infringing the '079 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by actively inducing direct infringement by enduser consumers who purchase DVD discs. Defendants Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, K-Mart, Target, and Wal-Mart have the specific intent to encourage direct infringement of the '079 patent by end-user consumers who purchase DVD discs. Defendants' Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, K-Mart, Target, and Wal-Mart actions, including their sales, advertising, and instructions, induced direct infringement by the end-user consumers who purchase DVD discs. Defendants Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, K-Mart, Target, and Wal-Mart knew or should have known that their actions would induce direct infringement by end-user consumers who purchase DVD discs.
- 19. Defendants Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, K-Mart, Target, and Wal-Mart for a long time past have been and still are infringing the '079 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by contributing to the direct infringement by end-user consumers who purchase DVD discs. The DVD discs sold by Defendants Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, K-Mart, Target, and Wal-Mart constitute a material part of the claimed inventions of the '079 patent and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Defendants Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, K-Mart, Target, and Wal-Mart know that the DVD discs are being used by the end-user

Exhibit 1, page 5

l

-4-

COMPLAINT

1.1

consumers as a material part of the claimed inventions of the '079 patent.

- 20. Defendants' foregoing acts of infringement were and continue to be willful.
- 21. As a result of Defendants' infringement, Multi-Format has suffered monetary damages in an amount not yet determined, and will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendants' infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.
- 22. Unless a preliminary and permanent injunction are issued enjoining Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, representatives, and all others acting on their behalf from infringing the '079 patent, Multi-Format will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE 38,079 AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS FOR SALES OF DVD PLAYERS

- 23. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-22 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 24. Defendants Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, K-Mart, Radio Shack, Sears, Target, and Wal-Mart for a long time past have been and still are infringing the '079 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by actively inducing direct infringement by end-user consumers who purchase DVD players. Defendants Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, K-Mart, Radio Shack, Sears, Target, and Wal-Mart have the specific intent to encourage direct infringement of the '079 patent by end-user consumers who purchase DVD players. Defendants' Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, K-Mart, Radio Shack, Sears, Target, and Wal-Mart actions, including their sales, advertising, and instructions, induced direct infringement by the end-user consumers who purchase DVD players. Defendants Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, K-Mart, Radio Shack, Sears, Target, and Wal-Mart knew or should have known that

their actions would induce direct infringement by end-user consumers who purchase DVD players.

- 25. Defendants' foregoing acts of infringement were and continue to be willful.
- 26. As a result of Defendants' infringement, Multi-Format has suffered monetary damages in an amount not yet determined, and will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendants' infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.
- 27. Unless a preliminary and permanent injunction are issued enjoining Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, representatives, and all others acting on their behalf from infringing the '079 patent, Multi-Format will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE 38,079 AGAINST DEFENDANTS AMAZON, BEST BUY, BUY.COM, CIRCUIT CITY, COSTCO, FRY'S, RADIO SHACK, SEARS, AND WAL-MART FOR SALES OF PERSONAL COMPUTERS EQUIPPED WITH DVD DRIVES

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-27 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 29. Defendants Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, Radio Shack, Sears, and Wal-Mart for a long time past have been and still are infringing the '079 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by actively inducing direct infringement by end-user consumers who purchase personal computers equipped with DVD drives. Defendants Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, Radio Shack, Sears, and Wal-Mart have the specific intent to encourage direct infringement of the '079 patent by end-user consumers who purchase personal computers equipped with DVD drives. Defendants' Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com. Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, Radio Shack, Sears, and Wal-Mart actions, including their

sales, advertising, and instructions, induced direct infringement by the end-user consumers who purchase personal computers equipped with DVD drives. Defendants Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, Radio Shack, Sears, And Wal-Mart knew or should have known that their actions would induce direct infringement by end-user consumers who purchase personal computers equipped with DVD drives.

- 30. Defendants' foregoing acts of infringement were and continue to be willful.
- 31. As a result of Defendants' infringement, Multi-Format has suffered monetary damages in an amount not yet determined, and will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendants' infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.
- 32. Unless a preliminary and permanent injunction are issued enjoining Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, representatives, and all others acting on their behalf from infringing the '079 patent, Multi-Format will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE 38,079 AGAINST DEFENDANTS AMAZON, BEST BUY, BUY.COM, CIRCUIT CITY, COSTCO, FRY'S, RADIO SHACK, SEARS, AND WAL-MART FOR SALES OF PERSONAL COMPUTERS NOT EQUIPPED WITH DVD DRIVES, BUT WHICH HAVE THE ABILITY TO DOWNLOAD VIDEO PROGRAMS VIA THE INTERNET

- 33. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-32 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 34. Defendants Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, Radio Shack, Sears, and Wal-Mart for a long time past have been and still are infringing the '079 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by actively inducing direct infringement by end-user consumers who purchase personal computers not equipped

with DVD drives, but which have the ability to download video programs via the Internet. Defendants Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, Radio Shack, Sears, and Wal-Mart have the specific intent to encourage direct infringement of the '079 patent by end-user consumers who purchase personal computers not equipped with DVD drives, but which have the ability to download video programs via the Internet. Defendants' Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, Radio Shack, Sears, and Wal-Mart actions, including their sales, advertising, and instructions, induced direct infringement by the end-user consumers who purchase personal computers not equipped with DVD drives, but which have the ability to download video programs via the Internet. Defendants Amazon, Best Buy, Buy.Com, Circuit City, Costco, Fry's, Radio Shack, Sears, and Wal-Mart knew or should have known that their actions would induce direct infringement by end-user consumers who purchase personal computers not equipped with DVD drives, but which have the ability to download video programs via the Internet.

- 35. Defendants' foregoing acts of infringement were and continue to be willful.
- 36. As a result of Defendants' infringement, Multi-Format has suffered monetary damages in an amount not yet determined, and will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendants' infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.
- 37. Unless a preliminary and permanent injunction are issued enjoining Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, representatives, and all others acting on their behalf from infringing the '079 patent, Multi-Format will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Multi-Format, Inc., prays for judgment against Defendants on each Claim for Relief set forth above as follows:

(1) That Defendants have induced others to infringe, and/or committed acts

of contributory infringement with respect to the claims of the '079 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), and/or (c);

- (2) That Defendants, their subsidiaries, officers, agents, servants, employees, licensees, and all other persons or entities acting or attempting to act in active concert or participation with them or acting on their behalf, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from further infringement, inducement of infringement, or contributory infringement of the '079 patents;
- (3) That this case be declared an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and Defendants be ordered to pay Multi-Format's costs, expenses, and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C.§§ 284 and 285;
- (4) That the Court grant such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

DATED: August 8, 2003

HENNIGAN BENNETT & DORMAN LLP

By _

Alan P. Block

Attorneys for Plaintiff, MULTI-FORMAT, INC.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as to all issues in this lawsuit.

DATED: August 8, 2003

HENNIGAN BENNETT & DORMAN LLP

By _

Alan P. Block

Attorneys for Plaintiff, MULTI-FORMAT, INC.

307042\v1